#### DOCUMENT RESUMB

ED 101 881 RC 008 308

AUTHOR Smith, Kevin B.; Ohlendorf, George W.

TITLE Marital and Procreative Projections of Rural

Louisiana Youth: A Historical Comparison.

INSTITUTION Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge. Agricultural

Experiment Station.

SPONS AGENCY Cooperative State Research Service (DCA), Washington,

D.C.

REPORT NO USDA-CSRS-S-81

PUB DATE Feb 75

NOTE 46p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Southern Association of Agricultural Scientists (New

Orleans, Louisiana, February 1975)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS Anglo Americans: \*Changing Attitudes: Children:

Comparative Analysis: Employment Patterns:

\*Expectation: \*Family Planning: High School Students: Males: \*Marriage: Negroes: Rural Urban Differences:

\*Rural Youth: Seniors: Sex Differences: Tables

(Data): Working Women

IDENTIFIERS \*Louisiana

#### ABSTRACT

Changes in marital and procreative projections among rural Louisiana high school youth between 1968 and 1972 were examined. In 1968 a proportionate, stratified, random cluster sampling technique was employed to secure data on seniors from 13 white and 7 black high schools. In 1972 public school integration and the establishment of private schools prevented historical comparison of the same schools, but insofar as was possible an attempt was made to involve the specific respondents who would have been 1972 seniors in the 20 schools from 1968, corresponding to the racial grouping of the 1968 sample. In both instances an 18 page version of the 1968 S-61 Southern Youth Study questionnaire was group administered with the exception of one school in the 1972 sample (544 questionnaires were completed in 1968 and 453 in 1972). Data measuring change were analyzed by sex, race, and residence relative to the following items: (1) Desired Age at Marriage; (2) Number of Children Desired; (3) Number of Children Expected; (4) Desire for Wife Working After Marriage; (5) Expectation for Wife Working After Marriage; and (6) Importance of Family and Marriage. Tabular data constitutes the major portion of this paper, while a brief narrative describes the research procedure. (JC)

# MARITAL AND PROCREATIVE PROJECTIONS OF RURAL LOUISIANA YOUTH: A HISTORICAL COMPARISON\*

Kevin B. Smith and George W. Ohlendorf

U 5 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

MATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THIS PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

Departments of Sociology and Rural Sociology Louisiana State University



# Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to examine changes in marital and procreative projections among rural Louisiana high school youth between 1968 and 1972 for a panel presentation on rural southern youth.

## Sample Selection

## 1968

The aim of the research design was to obtain a representative sample of rural high school youth in Louisiana. A proportionate, stratified, random cluster sampling technique was employed for sample selection.

Procedures followed in selecting the sample were as follows: (1) The state was stratified by four geographical areas to represent the four quadrants of the state which differ somewhat in their social and economic characteristics. Moreover, each area is relatively homogeneous in its social and economic traits compared to the others. (2) Clusters (or schools) served as the sampling unit. Within each area, between four and six schools were selected randomly from nonmetropolitan parishes to obtain black and white students proportionate to their enrollment in nonmetropolitan schools within the state. A total of 20 schools—13 white and seven black— was selected for the entire state. (3) Senior students in the schools were designated as respondents for the sample to correspond with the cohort being studied in the other states participating in the project.

#### 1972

Public school integration and establishment of private academies confounded the opportunity to study historical change in the same schools. In 1972, only four of the original 20 schools—three white and one black—were substantially the same as in 1968 and two had been closed. These four unchanged schools, the 14 other integrated ones still open, and two private academies were selected as the sampling units for studying historical change. The 14 schools (black and white) had been integrated with identifiable previously segregated schools (white or black). Although all students in the schools completed questionnaires in 1972, only the racial grouping corresponding to the 1968 schools was utilized in this analysis.

<sup>\*</sup>Presented at the annual meeting of the Southern Association of Agricultural Scientists, New Orleans, February, 1975. Development of this paper was supported by the Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station as a contribution to Project 1231 and to USDA CSRS Research Project S-81, "Development of Human Resource Potentials of Rural Youth in the South and Their Patterns of Mobility."



In addition, private academies had been opened in two places where white schools had been included in 1968. These were added to the sample in 1972 because some students who otherwise would have been attending public schools were attending these academies. Insofar as possible, an attempt was made to involve the specific respondents who would have been 1972 seniors in the 20 schools from 1968.

# Data Collection

# 1968

An 18-page version of the 1968 S-61 Southern Youth Study questionnaire was utilized to collect data by means of group interviews in the schools during early April. These interviews were conducted by staff members (faculty and graduate assistants) from the Department of Rural Sociology at Louisiana State University, who had been instructed in interview procedures prior to the field work. Approximately 60 minutes were required for each administration. All the seniors present on the day the interview was scheduled participated, but no attempt was made to contact any who were absent. A total of 544 completed questionnaires were obtained. The questionnaire for one black has been lost and one from a Native American is being excluded here, leaving the distribution of respondents in Table A available for analysis.

## 1972

A slightly modified version of the 1968 questionnaire was utilized. The deleted items were at the back of the questionnaire and were not concerned directly with the project objectives. Additions included the Occupational. Aspiration Scale and significant—other influence items.

During May, this questionnaire was administered to the senior classes in the schools. Completed questionnaires were obtained from 453 seniors in the schools except that a mail questionnaire was utilized for one private academy because the school year had concluded too early to administer the questionnaire in the school. This academy had 19 graduates and 17 of them returned questionnaires. The distribution of respondents is provided in Table A.

Table A. Distribution of Rural Louisiana Senior Respondents in 1968 and 1972, by Race and Sex

		1968			1972	
Race	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Black	91a	126	217 <sup>a</sup>	64	77	141
White	168 <sup>a</sup>	157	325 <sup>a</sup>	150	162	312
TOTAL	259 <sup>a</sup>	283	542 <sup>a</sup>	214	239	453

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Excluding | Native American and | lost questionnaire



Table 1.	Change in 1968-1972	in Desi 72	Change in Desired Age at Marr 1968-1972	t Marri	age of 1	lage of Rural Louis: ma Youth by Race and Sex,	is: ma	fouth by	Race at	nd Sex,		
			Males	<b>છ</b>					Ferr	Females		
7000		Black			White			Black			White	
Age At Marriage	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968	1972 %	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %
19 or Less	8.8	20.3	+11.5	12.5	14.0	+1.5	11.9	24.7	+12.8	23.6	34.0	+10.4
20-22	20.9	10.9	-10.0	32.7	35.3	+2.6	31.0	. 39.0	+8.0	59.9	51.2	-8.7
23–24	24.2	18.8	-5.4	22.6	13.3	-9.3	29.4	20.8	-8.6	10.8	7.4	-3.4
25 or more	46.2	50.0	+3.8	32.1	37.3	+5.2	27.8	15.6	-12.2	5.7	7.4	+1.7
Total	100.1	100.0		99.9	6.66		100.1	1001	•	100.0	100.0	
# %	91	79		168	150	1	126	77		157	162	

ERIC

AFUITRIX PROVIDED BY ERIC

$$\chi^2 = 6.52$$
  
d.f. = 3  
p = .09

$$\chi^2 = 4.66$$
d.f. = 3

$$\chi^2 = 5.$$

Table 2. C	hange tr	Number	Change in Number of Children Desired by Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and Sex,	Iren Des	stred by	Rural Lo	vislana	Youth	by Race	and Sex	., 1968–1972	972
			Males	89.					Females	les		
		R Jack			White			Black			White	
Number of Children Destred	1968	1972	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968	1972	Change %
None	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	3.6	+1.7	2.5	2.8	+0.3	2.0	3.2	+1.2
1 a	2.3	1.9	-0.4	2.6	3.6	+1.0	3.3	6.9	+3.6	0.7	4.5	+3.8
2	33.7	40.7	+7.0	26.3	0.94	+19.7	39.7	45.8	+6.1	14.7	32.1	+17.4
3	9.3	27.8	+18.5	27.6	20.4	-7.2	18.2	12.5	-5.7	22.0	20.5	-1.5
4	34.9	20.4	-14.5	26.9	17.5	4.6-	27.3	22.2	-5.1	38.0	26.9	-11.1
5 or more	19.8	9.3	-10.5	14.7	8.8	-5.9	9.1	9.7	+0.6	22.7	12.8	-9.9
Total	100.0	100.1		100.0	99.9		100.1	99.9		100.1	100.0	ı
# 2	86	54	1	156	137	1	121	72		150	156	

ERIC Trovided by ERIC

acategories combined for all race-sex groupings for  $\chi^2$ 

 $\chi^2 = 20.70$ d.f. = 4 p = .0004

 $\chi^2 = 2.75$  **d.f.** = 4
p = .60

 $\chi^2 = 15.55$ d.f. = 4 p = .004

Table 3.	Change i	n Numbe	Change in Number of Children Expected by Rural Louisiana	dren Fx	pected	by Rural	Louista	na Youth	h by Race	and	Sex, 1968	1968-1972
			Males	es					Fem	Pemales		
Number of		Black			White			Black			White	
Children Expected	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change 7	1968 %	1972 %	Change %
None	1.2	0.0	-1.2	2.6	4.8	+2.2	3.4	6.9	+3.5	1.4	5.8	+4.4
18	4.8	0.0	-4.8	2.6	3.2	+0.6	4.2	6.7	+5.5	0.7	1.3	+0.6
2	25.0	33.3	+8.3	28.5	40.5	+12.0	28.6	36.1	+7.5	15.9	39.6	+23.7
3	9.5	29.4	+19.9	25.8	19.0	-6.8	18.5	13.9	-4.6	24.8	20.8	-4.0
4	33.3	17.6	-15.7	26.5	23.0	-3.5	31.9	20.8	-11.1	39.3	22.7	-16.6
5 or more	26.2	19.6	-6.6	13.9	9.5	4.4	13.4	12.5	-0.9	17.9	9.7	-8.2
Total	100.0	6*66		6.66	100.0	•	100.0	99.9		100.0	99.9	1
H 24	84	51	l	151	126		119	72		145	154	1

$$\chi^2 = 30.18$$
 $d.f. = 5$ 

a Categories combined for Black males and White males for  $\chi^2$ 

Females           Females           Sire         Nulfe         Males         White         Black         Female           reting reting         1968         1972         Change         1         2         4 <th>Table 4.</th> <th>Change in Desi- Sex. 1968-1972</th> <th>n Destr 8-1972</th> <th>Change in Desires for Wife Wor Sex. 1968-1972</th> <th>fe Work</th> <th>ing Aft</th> <th>rking After Marriage for Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and</th> <th>ge for</th> <th>Rural L</th> <th>ouisiana</th> <th>Youth 1</th> <th>by Race</th> <th>pue</th>	Table 4.	Change in Desi- Sex. 1968-1972	n Destr 8-1972	Change in Desires for Wife Wor Sex. 1968-1972	fe Work	ing Aft	rking After Marriage for Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and	ge for	Rural L	ouisiana	Youth 1	by Race	pue
Holman Black White Black Black Black Black Black				Ma	les					Fema	les		
k 46.8 47.5 +0.7 63.0 48.6 -14. 8.5 4.4 -4.1 tel 36.4 35.6 -0.8 32.5 45.2 +12.7 36.8 41.2 +4.4 n 100.1 100.0 154 146 117 66 1	Desire For Wife		Black			White			Black			White	
46.8       47.5       +0.7       63.0       48.6       -14.       8.5       4.4       -4.1         36.4       35.6       -0.8       32.5       45.2       +12.7       36.8       41.2       +4.4         16.9       0.0       4.5       6.2       +1.7       54.7       54.4       -0.3         100.1       100.0        100.0       100.0        100.0         77       59        154       146        117       66        1	Working After Marriage	2 8961	1972 %	Change %	1968 Ž	1972 %	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968 2	1972 %	Change %
36.4       35.6       -0.8       32.5       45.2       +12.7       36.8       41.2       +4.4         16.9       16.9       0.0       4.5       6.2       +1.7       54.7       54.4       -0.3         100.1       100.0       -       100.0       100.0       -       100.0       -       1         77       59       -       154       146       -       117       68       -       1	Not Work At All	46.8			63.0	48.6		8.5	4.4	-4.1	14.1	7.2	6.9-
16.9     16.9     0.0     4.5     6.2     +1.7     54.4     -0.3       100.1     100.0      100.0      100.0     100.0        77     59      154     146      117     68	Work Until	36.4			32.5	45.2		36.8	41.2	+4.4	00.3	73.0	+12.7
100.1     100.0     —     100.0     —     100.0     —     100.0     —       77     59     —     154     146     —     117     68     —	Work After Children		. :			6.2	+1.7	54.7	54.4	-0.3	25.6	19.7	-5.9
= 77 59 154 146 117 68	Total	100.1	i i		100.0				100.0		100.0	99.9	1
	" "	11	59	•	154	146		117	99	1	156	152	

ERIC "
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table 5. Ch	lange in	Degree	Change in Degree of Work Desired	Destred	for W1	for Wife After Marriage	Marriage	for	Rural Louisiana		Youth by	
Ke	Kace and	Sex, 13	7/27-00/									
			Males	es					Females	es		
negree												
Destred		Black			White			Black			White	
For Wife After Marriage	1968	1972	Change %	1968	1972 %	Change %	1968 %	1972	Change 7	1968	1972	Change %
Work Before Children	68.3	57.8	-0.5	87.7	88.0	+0.3	40.2	43.0	+2.8	70.2	78.7	+8.6
Part-time	(43.9)	(22.6)	(-21.3)	(45.6)	(41.3)	(-4.3)	(19.6)	(21.5)	(+1.9)	(23.9)	(19.7)	(-4.2)
D.11_++imo	(24.4)	(45,2)	(+20.8)	(42.1)	(46.7)	(+4.6)	(20.6)	(21.5)	(+0.9)	(46.3)	(59.1) (+12.8)	(+12.8)
Work After		7	. I		12.0		9	57.0	-2.8	29.9	21.2	-8.7
Children	31.6	32.3	49.7	77.7		7.0-	22.2					
Part-time	(14.6)	(6.7)	(-4.9)	(7.0)	(8.0)	(+1.0)	(21.5)	(10.8)	(40.7)	(22.4)	(6.9)	(9.9) (-12.5)
Full-time	(17.0)	(22.6)	(+5.6)	(5.2)	(4.0)	(-0.8)	(38.3)	(46.2)	(+7.9)	(7.5)	(11.3)	(+3.8)
Total	99.9	100.1		99.9	100.0	•	100.0	100.0		100.1	0.001	
ti Z	41	31	١	57	75		107	65		134	14	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

$$\chi^2 = 5.22$$
d.f. = 3
p = .15

$$\chi^2 = 3.36$$
 d.f. = 3

$$\chi^2 = 12.58$$
d.f. = 3

 $^{\star}_{\chi}{}^{2}$  not computed because >20% of the cells contained expected frequencies <5.

Table 6.	Thange 1	n Expec Sex, 1	Change in Expectations for Wife Race and Sex, 1968-1972	or Wife	•	Working After Marriage	arriage		ıral Lou	islana	for Rural Louisiana Youth by	
Expecta-			Males	es					Fett	Fenales		
tions For Wife		Black			White			Black			White	
Working After Marriage	1968	1972	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968 2	1972 Ž	Change %	1968 %	1972 %	Change %
Not Work At All	35.8	53.7	+17.9	0.64	43.4	-5.6	11.1	4.6	-6.5	15.1	13.0	-2.1
Work Until	38.8	33.3	-5.5	40.7	47.6	+6.9	37.0	38.5	+1.5	60.5	62.3	+1.8
Work After Children	25.3	13.0	-12.3	10.3	9.1	-1.2	51.9	56.9	+5.0	24.3	24.7	+0.4
Total	6.99	100.0		100.0	100.1	•	100.0	100.0		99.9	100.0	
u N	67	54		155	143	1	108	. 65		152	154	1

ERIC Foulded by ERIC

$$\chi^2 = 4.75$$
 d.f. = 2

$$\chi^2 = 2.19$$
  
d.f. = 2  
p = .34

$$\chi^2 = 0.2$$
 d.f. = 2

Table 7. Cl	Change in by Race a	in Degree and Sex,	Change in Degree of Work Expect by Race and Sex, 1968-1972	Expecte	ed for W	for Wife After Marriage	Marria		for Rural Louisiana Youth	ouisian	ia Youth	
Deoree				ės					Females	les		
of Work Expected		Black			White			Black			White	
For Wife After Marriage	1968	1972	Change %	1968	1972	Change	1968	1972 %	Change %	1968	1972 %	Change %
Work Before Children	60.5	72.0	+11.5	79.8	84.0	+4.2	41.7	40.4	-1.3	71.3	71.7	+0.4
Part-Time	(41.9)	(40.0)	(-1.9)	(55.7)	(42.0)	(-13.7)	(19.8)	(21.0)	(+1.2)	(27.1)	(15.7)	(-11.4)
Full-Time	(18.6)	(32.0)	(+13.4) (24.1)		(42.0)	(+17.9)	(21.9)	(19.4)	(-2.5)	(44.2) (56.0)		(+11.8)
Work After	39.5	28.0	-11.5	20.3	16.0	-4.3	58.4	59.7	+1.3	28.7	28.3	-0.4
Part-Time	(18.6)	(18.6) (12.0)	(-6.6) (15.2)		(11.1)	(-4.1)	(-4.1) (24.0)	(25.8)	(+1.8)	(18.6)	(14.9)	(-3.7)
Full-Time	(20.9)	(16.0)	(-4.9)	(5.1)	(4.9)	(-0.2)	(34.4)	(33.9)	(-0.5)	(10.1)	(13.4)	(+3.3)
Total	100.0	100.0	•	100.1	100.0	1	100.1	100.1	1	0.001	100.0	
11	63	25		79	81	1	96	62	1	129	134	1

ERIC

Full text Provided by ERIC

 $\chi^2 = 20.00$ d.f. = 3

 $^{\star}_{\chi}$  not computed because >20% of the cells contained expected frequencies <5

Table 8.	Change in Impo Race and Sex.	n Impoi	in Importance of nd Sex, 1968-1972	Marriag	ge and F	and Family for Rural Louislana Youth by	- Rural	Louisia	na Youth	by		
	·		Males	es					Fem	Females		
Importance		Black			whi te			Black			White	
of Marriage and Family	1968	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change	1968 \$	1972	Change <b>%</b>	1968 \$	1972 \$	Change \$
l (High)	3.8	0.0	-3.8	3.0	6.8	+3.8	1.7	6.9	+5.2	21.2	24.8	+3.6
2	2.5	3.6	+1.1	10.1	8.2	-1.9	5.1	4.2	-0.9	16.0	12.4	-3.6
3	6.2	7.3	1.1+	13.1	17.1	+4.0	7.7	4.2	-3.5	19.2	11.2	-8.0
4	10.0	10.9	+0.9	19.6	9.6	-10.0	12.0	5.6	-6.4	11.5	15.5	+4.0
5	7.5	9.1	+1.6	9.61	9.11	-8.0	13.7	9.7	-4.0	9.6	12.4	+2.8
9	40.0	41.9	6°I+	14.3	13.0	-1.3	26.5	25.0	-1.5	11.5	9.6	-1.6
7 (Low)	30.0	27.3	-2.7	20.2	33.6	+13.4	33.3	44.4	+11.1	10.9	13.7	+2.8
Total	100.0	100.1		99.9	6*66		100.0	100.0		99.9	99.9	
N N	80	55		168	146	1	117	72		156	161	8
		*		2×+	= 47.36		*			۱۱ ۱۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲۲ ۲	. 6.76 . 6	

ERIC Full fast Provided by ERIC

\*  $\chi^2$  not computed because > 20% of the cells contained expected frequencies < 5.

Table 9. (	Change L	in Level	Change in Level of Importance by Race and Soy 1968-1972.		of Marri	of Marriage and Family for Rural Louislana Youth	amily f	or Rura	l Louisi	ana You	ŧ	
			Males	es					Fem	Females		
Level of		Black			White			Black			White	
Importance of Marriage and Family	1968	1972	Change %	1968 %	1972 \$	Change \$	1968 \$	1972 %	Change ≸	1968 %	1972	Change %
High (1,2)	6.2	3.6	-2.6	13.1	15.1	+2.0	6.8	11.1	+4.3	37.2	37.3	+0.1
Medium (3,4,5)	23.8	27.3	+3.5	52.3	38.4	-13.9	33.3	19.4	-13.9	40.4	39.1	-1.3
Low (6,7)	70.0	69.2	-0.8	34.5	46.6	+12.1	59.8	69.4	+9.6	22.4	23.6	+1.2
Total	100.0	1.00		6*66	100		99.9	99.9		0.00	100.0	:
# 2	80	55	-	168	146	•	117	72	•	56	161	1
		*		4. + c	= 6.39 = 2 = .04		, +, d	# 4.68 # 2 • .09		4 × 4 · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	n 2 . 95	

 $^*\chi^2$  not computed because >20% of the cells contained expected frequencies <5.

Table 10. Ch

	.01	1968-1972	in vesi 72	red Age c	r Marri	age of	Unange in Desired Age of Marriage of Kural Louisiana Males by Race and Residence, 1968–1972	isiana	vales b	y Race a	nd Resi	dence,	
			Farm		) 900	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	ر )	TOWN	Town or Village	əße		Ci ty	
Black	Age At Marriage	- 968 %	1972	Change	8961 \$	1972 g	Change ∯	1968 18	1972 %	Change ₹	1968 4	1972 g	Change Ž
	19 or Less	3.0	0.0	-3.0	8.3	34.8	+26.5	27.3 18.5	18.5	9.8	9.1	0.0	-3.1
	20 - 22	12.1	12.5	+0.4	27.8	0.0	-27.8	27.3 14.8	14.8	-12.5	18.2	25.0	ક <b>.</b> 9•
	23 - 24	33.3	12.5	-20.8	22.2	8.7	-13.5	27.3	29.6	+2.3	0.0	25.0	+25.0
	25 or More	51.5	75.0	+23.5	41.7	56.5	+14.8	18.2	37.0	+18.8	72.7	50.0	-22.7
	Total	6.66	100.0	*	0.001	0.00	•••	1001	6*66		100.0	100.0	1
	N≈	33	8	-	36	23	1	=	27	1	11	4	i

0
+
7
=
_

19 or Less 15 o 12 2	r.		7 2		210	1 01		۱۰ د	ć			C N
		7.71	7:5	75.5	0017	13.	4.4	2.2	2.7.	2.81	19,2	13.0
20 - 22	33.3	33.3 43.9 +10.6	+10.6	32.1	33.3	+1.2	37.5	37.5 33.3	-4.2	26.3	28.6	+2.3
23 - 24	23.2	17.1	-6.1	25.0	5.9	5.9 -19.1	16.7 23.3	23.3	+6.6	21.1	10.7	-10.4
25 or More 27.5	27.5	26.8	-0.7	30.4	39.2	+8.8	41.7 40.0	40.0	-1.7	42.1	46.4	+4.3
Tota l	100.0 100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	<b></b>	100.1	66.66	•	100.0 100.0	100.0	
N H	69	41	1	56	51	-	24	30	•	61	28	

Table II.	Change In 1968-1972	In Desi	Change in Desired Age of Marri 1968-1972			age of Rural Louislana Females by Race and Residence,	1stana	Females	by Race	and Re	sidence,	
		Farm		9d0	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	2.0	Toen	Town or VIIIada	906		<u>i</u>	
Nesired Age At Marriage	1968	1972	Change ≸	1968 \$	1972	Change \$	1968 %	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change
19 or Less	6.1	20.0	+13.9	5.6	24.7	+16.1	20.0	33.3	+13.3	30.8	42.9	+12.1
20 - 22	45.5	20.0	-25.5	31.5	39.1	+7.6	20.0	41.7	+21.7	15.4	1	+27.5
23 - 24	21.2	40.0	+18.8	35.2	23.9	-11.3	32.0	8.3	-23.7	23.1	1	-23.1
25 or More	27.3	20.0	-7.3	27.8	15.2	-12.6	28.0	16.7	-11.3	30.8	14.3	-16.5
Total	100.1	100.0	***	100.1	99.9		100.0	100.0	•	100.	100.1	
N.	33	01		54	46	i	25	12		<u> </u>	7	

Black

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

19 or Less	22.6	48.0	+25.4	20.8	25.0	+4.2	20.0		45.2 +25.2	38.1	25.7	-12.4
20 - 22	69.8	36.0	-33.8	56.6	61.7	+5.1	56.7	45.2	45.2 -11.5	47.6	1	+3.8
23 - 24	5.7	8.0	+2.3	17.0	3.3	3.3 -13.7	13.3	7.1	-6.2	4.8		4 07
25 or More	6	0	1			1					1	
		0.0	+0-	2.7	0.0	+4.3	0.0	2.4	-7.6	9.5	8.6	-0.9
Total	100.0	100.0		1.001	100.0	ł	100.0	99.6		100.0	100.0	•
N=	53	25	•	53	09		R	42		21	35	
					1							

White

Change in Number of Children Desired by Rural Louisiana Males by Race and Residence, 1968-1972 City 0.0 0.0 40.0 10.0 40.0 100.0 10.0 0.0 22.2 50.0 5.6 0.00 1:1 **968** 0 Change 0.0 +4.0 -1.3 +28.9 -6.2 -25.3 0.0 +3.3 +7.6 +0.6 -1.7 -9.7 Town or Village I 1 0.0 40.0 16.0 4.0 32.0 8.0 100.0 26.7 0.0 3.3 100.0 20.0 3.3 1972 8 46. 25 0.0 33.3 0.0 33.3 22.2 93.9 0.0 0.0 99.9 11.1 13.0 21.7 26.1 **968 ≈** 39.1 9 -5.9 +14.9 0.0 -13.8 +6.8 +2.5 +29.0 6.6-6.11--4.0 Change \$ -2.1 Φ į I 5 į Open-Country (Nonferm)

White

None

Table 12.

.

#

.

. . .

1

air Care

.\*

\$ : **•** 

1

٠

ŀ

•

0.0

0.0

Change \$

1972

1972

968 24

Change \$

1972

968

Number of

Destred

Children

Farm

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

**၀** 

None

Black

0.0

0.0

+35.0

75.0

33.3

47.

+44.3

62.5

18.2

2

26.7

8.

+6.4

12.5

6.1

M

33.3

26.5

-33.0

12.5

45.5

6.7

8.8

-17.8

12.5

30.3

or More

100.1 100.0

į

0.00

100

Total

<u>.</u>

34

İ

Φ

33

#

0.0

9

0.0

0.0

0.0

-10.0

0.0

-40.0

0.0

+15.0

25.0

100.0

+25.8

48.0

-7.1

4.0

+4.0

4.0

0.0

5.9

+10.5

10.5

0.0

4.5

2.0

+1.0

2.6

9.

54.5

25.

+10.8

34.2

23.4

13.6

23.5

-1.3

23.7

25.0

13.6

25.5

-12.2

23.7

35.9

13.6

17.6

-8.8

5.3

14.1

or more

ı

4

-30.0

20.0

+6.4

12.0

40.0

12.0

ŧ

100.0

ŧ

23

8

•

R

23

į

44

.5

1

28

64

#

99.8

100.0

!

100.0

100.0

Total

0027

	Table 13.	Change In 1968-1972	in Num 972	Number of Ch	Children	Desired	by Rural	Louislana	•	Females by	Race and	d Residence	nce,
			Farm		0	sen-Country	try						
	Destred					(Nonfarm)		Town	or VIIIage	age		CITY	
	Number of Children	1968 %	1972 \$	Change \$	1968 \$	1972	Change <b>≴</b>	1968	1972	Change %	1968	1972	Change %
Black	None	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	2.3	-1.4	0.0		0.0	9.1	20.0	+10.9
		0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	9.1	+3.5	4.2	0.0	-4.2	0.0	20.0	+20.0
	2	46.9	30.0	-16.9	35.2	43.2	+8.0	37.5	7	+35.2	45.5	40.0	-5.5
	3	13.8	10.0	-8.8	14.8	15.9	+1.1	20.8	9.1	-11.7	27.3	0.0	-27.3
	4	25.0	40.0	+15.0	31.5	20.5	-11.0	29.2	9.1	-20.1	9.1	20.0	+10.9
	5 or More	9.4	20.0	+10.6	9.3	9.1	-0.2	8.3	9.1	+0.8	9.1	0.0	-9.1
	Total	100.1	100.0	ł	100.1	100.0		100.0	100.0	1	1001	100.0	ŀ
	<b>"</b>	32	01		54	44		24	-	•	=	5	•
White	None	2.0	4.0	+2.0	2.0	1.8	-0.2	0.0	2.4	+2.4	5.0	6.1	+1.1
		2.0	4.0	+2.0	0.0	1.8	. +1.8	0.0	12.2	+12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2	15.7	52.0	+36.3	18.0	26.3	+8.3	6.9	26.8	+19.9	15.0	33.3	+18.3
	3	13.7	12.0	-1.7	24.0	29.8	+5.8	27.6	14.6	-13.0	30.0	18.2	-11.8
	4	45.1	24.0	-21.1	36.0	28.1	-7.9	34.5	22.0	-12.5	30.0	33.3	+3.3
	5 or More	21.6	4.0	-17.6	20.0	12.3	-7.7	31.0	22.0	9.0	20.0	9.1	-10.9
	Total	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.1		100.0	100.0	•	100.0	100.0	
	₽	51	25	•	50	57	•	29	41		20	33	

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

•

?

		ľ
Table 14.	Change in Number of Children Expected by Rural Louisiana Males by Race and Residence,	
	4.7.	

•

\*

•

ř

ı		Farm		Ope (N	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	ر. ر	Town	or VIII age	900		CI <del>t</del> v	
Chlidren	1968	1972	Change \$	1968 %	1972 8	Change \$	1968 %	1972 %	Change %	1968 \$	1972	Change \$
None	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	-3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	3.1	0.0	-3.1	9.1	0.0	-9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	12.5	62.5	+50.0	36.4	28.6	-7.8	22.2	30.4	+8,2	30.0	25.0	-5.0
3	6.2	0.0	-6.2	12.1	35.7	+23.6	11.1	34.8	+23.7	10.0	25.0	+15.0
4	43.8	12.5	-31.3	24.2	21.4	-2.8	33.3	13.0	-20.3	30.0	25.0	-5.0
5 or more	34.4	25.0	-9.4	15.2	14.3	-0.9	33.3	21.7	-11.6	30.0	25.0	-5.0
Total	100.0	100.0	ł	100.0	100.0		6*66	99.9	***	100.0	100.0	1
N=	32	80		. 33	14		6	23		10	4	
None	1.6	10.8	+9.2	6.1	2.4	-3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	+4.8
	1.6	2.7	+1.1	4.1	2.4	-1.7	0.0	3.7	+3.7	5.9	4.8	-
2	21.0	32.4	+11.4	26.5	51.2	+24.7	34.8	40.7	+5.9	52.9	33.3	-19.6
3	25.8	18.9	-6.9	22.4	17.1	-5.3	34.8	22.2	-12.6	23.5	19.0	-4.5
4	33.9	27.0	-6.9	24.5	17.1	-7.4	21.7	22.2	+0.5	11.8	28.6	+16.8
5 or more	16.1	8.	-8.0	16.3	9.8	-6.5	8.7	11.1	+2.4		9.5	+3.6
Tctal	.100.0	99.9		99.9	100.0		100.0	99.9		100.0	100.0	
2	62	37		40	41		23	27	•	17	21	
	0	0030								003	yyan <b>ed</b>	

White

ERIC

Black

-18.9

16.1

35.0

-21.1

12.2

+2.4

31.6

29.5

+4.0

16.0

12.0

3

0.1-

29.0

30.0

-2.8

26.8

29.6

-22.1

17.5

39.6

-28.0

20.0

48.0

+.5

6.5

5.0

-18.6

7.3

25.9

-2.7

14.0

16.7

-12.0

8.0

20.0

more

5 or

į

100.0

0.00

99.9

9

99

99.9

8

į

0.00

100.0

Total

 $\overline{\sim}$ 

20

1

4

27

1

57

8

į

2

20

2

+10.9 0.0 + .8 -18.2 +.5 +16.9 +12. -7. 0.0 Change & 1 į Residence, 0.0 20.0 40.0 20.0 0.00 20.0 0.0 0.0 41.9 5 ဖ် 972 CITY S and 27.3 0.0 9.1 27.3 18.2 18.2 - 00 5.0 0.0 25.0 968 Race \_ Ď Change % -4.3 -17.4 +0.4 +9.1 +24.1 -11.8 +7.3 +2.4 +32.8 Females Town or VIIIage 1 I 1972 \$ 0.0 54.5 0.0 27.3 -6 0.00 7.3 2.4 43.9 <u>.</u> Change in Number of Children Expected by Rural Louislana 1968-1972 = 89€ **×** 0.0 4.3 30.4 17.4 8.7 99.9 0.0 0.0 1:1 39. 23 -1.5 +10.2 +3.5 -3.4 -7.5 -1.5 +3.5 0.0 +18.7 Change ! į Open-Country (Nonfarm) 1972 15.9 2.3 13.6 99.9 ထ္ 22.7 13.6 3.5 0.0 33.3 44 3 968 30.2 3.8 5.7 17.0 28.3 0.0 0.0 14.6 15.1 100 53 Change % +16.9 +1.2 41.9 -24.4 +7.5 -3.1 +6.0 +2.0 +28.0 i 20.d 0.0 30.0 20.d 10.0 20.0 100.0 8.0 4.0 44.0 1972 Farm 9 18.8 34.4 0.00 <u>۳</u> 3.1 2.0 2.0 16.0 28.1 S 98 88 12. 32 Number of Table 15. or more **Expected** Children Total None None 2 ~ 4 2

White

0032

ERIC Arat Provided by ERIC

**Black** 

•

\*

· Park

\*

•

. .

. .

۵

į

÷

. •

÷

\*

	Change in 1968-1972	in Desi 72	Change in Desires for Wife to 1968-1972	1	Mork o	of Rural Louislana Males by Race and Residence,	ouis lank	a Males	by Race	and Res	I dence,	
Desires for		Farm		ă ÷	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	fry	Town	or Village	lade		)	
Work After Warriage	1968	1972	Change \$	1968 8	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change %	1968	1972	Change \$
et work at all	42.3	28.6	-24.3	50.0	60.9	+10.9	36.4	44.0	+7.6	62.5	33.3	-29.2
Till Child, Pt/time	15.4	14.3	-1-	21.9	8.7	-13.2	36.4	16.0	-20.4	37.5	0.0	-37.5
Till Child, F1/time	19.2	28.6	+9.4	15.6	17.4	+1.8	0.0	24.0	+24.0	0.0	33.3	+33.3
After Child Pt/time	7.7	14.3	+6.6	6.2	4.3	6.1-	18.2	4.0	-14.2	C	C	
After Child FI/time	15.4	14.3	.   •   •	6.2	8.7	+2.5	9.1	12.0	+2.9	0.0	33.3	+33.3
Total	100.0	100.1		99.9	100.0		100.1	100.0	1	100.0	99.9	
N=	56	7		32	23		=	25		80	4	

Mark		·										
at all	67.7	67.7 50.0		-17.7 53.2	54.0	+0.8	62.5	43.3	6.61-	72.2	42 3	-20 0
Till Child, Pt/time	20.0	17.5		19.1	<u> </u>		8.3	20.0	1	11.1	1	+ 19.7
TIII Chiid, EL/time	9.2	25.0	+15.8	1	20.0			26.7		16.7		+10.2
After Child Pt/time	1.5	5.0	+3.5	4.3	4.0	-0.3	4.2	6.7	+2.5	0	1	
After Child F1/time	1.5	2.5	+1.0			-0-		N.	0 0			
Totai	99.9	100.0	- 8	=	100.0	ľ	100.0	100.0		000	-	1
<b>S</b>	65	40		47	50	i	24	R		18		
												_

Black

White

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table 17.	Change In 1968-1972	In Desi 72	Change in Desires for Wife to 1968-1972	life to	Work of	Work of Rural Louislana Females by Race and Residence,	uislana	Fermale	s by Rac	e and R	les i dence	
Desires for		Farm		dO	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	otry 13	Town	Town or Village	age		C14	
Work after Marriage	1968	1972	Change %	1968	1972	Change ≰	<b>\$</b>	1972	Change &	1968	1972	Change
Not Work At All	6.7	0.0	-6.7	7.7	7.1	9.0-	4.5	0.0	-4.5	23.1	0.0	-23.1
Till Child Pt/time	20.0	37.5	+17.5	21.2	11.9	-9.3	18.2	36.4	+18.2	0.0	20.0	+20.0
Till Child F1/time	20.0	25.0	+5.0	19.2	23.8	+4.6	18.2	9.1	-9.1	15.4	20.0	+4.6
After Child Pt/time	20.0	0.0	-20.0	19.2	11.9	-7.3	22.7	9.1	-13.6	15.4	20.0	+4.6
After Child FI/time	33.3	37.5	+4.2	32.7	45.2	+12.5	36.4	45.5	+9.1	46.2	40.0	-6.2
Total	100.0 100.0	100.0	ł	100.0	99.9		100.0	1.001		1.001	100.0	
2	30	10	1	52	42		22	=		13	5	

Black

Not Work At All	15.1	12.0	-3.1	0.4	α	<b>Y</b>	6	C	91			
Till Child.						2	2007	I	2.5	٧٠٢ -	2.6	8.1
Pt/time	17.0	20.0	+3.0	24.5	12.3	-12.2	20.0	20.0 17.5	-2.5	20.0	29.0	+9.0
Till Child, F1/time	35.8	40.0	+4.2	39.6	61.4	+21.8	43.3	60.0	+16.7	L	<u> </u>	+3.4
After Child Pt/time	30.2	20.0	-10.2 17.0	17.0	5.3	-12.3	10.0	7.5	-2.5	1	1	-0.3
After Child Fi/time	1.9	8.0	+6.1	9.4	12.3	+2.9	6.7	i .	+3.3			-0.3
Total	100.0	100.0		99.9	1001	•	100.0 100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	1
N.	53	25		53	57	•	82	40	•	20	31	-

White

ERIC .

Black

Table 18.	Change In 1968-1972	In Expe	Change in Expectations for Wife 1968-1972	for Wi	e to 16	e to Work of Rural Louislana Males by Race and Residence,	at Loui	Slana M	ales by	Race an	d Reside	mce,
Expecta-		Farm		õ	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	ıtry	1	Town or VII lane	l lago		4	
Wite 10 Work After Marriage	1968 \$	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1972	Change
Not Work At Ali	28.0	42.9	+14.9	40.7	64.7	+24.0	28.6	45.8	+17.2	, v	75.0	-25.0
Till Child Pt/time	24.0	0.0	-24.0	25.9	1.8	-14.1	42.9	29.2	-13.7	<u> </u>	C	-75.0
Till Child Fl/time	12.0	28.6	+16.6	-:	17.6	+6.5	0.0	12.5	+12.5	<u> </u>		2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2
After Child Pt/time	16.0	14.3	-1.7	7.4	5.9	-1.5	28.6	4.2	-24.4			0.0
After Child F1/time	20.0	14.3	-5.7	14.8	0.0	-14.8	0.0	8.3	+8.3	<u>.</u>	25.0	+25.0
Total	100.0	100.1	•	6.66	100.0		100.1	-00.0	•	_ =	100.0	
N#	25	7	;	7.2	17			24	1	80	4	

Not Work												
At All	54.0	43.6	-10.4	50.0	36.7	-13.3	37.5	46.4	0 87	7 7 7	<u>.</u>	7
TIII Child									7.00	-	1	27.7
Pt/time	28.6	23.1	-5.5	24.0	22.4	9.	33.3	28.6	7-4-7	2 2 2	22.2	-
Till Child		75.6	+14 5	12.0	7 02	_			1		1	•
46+or Child			L		2	10.0	75.2	2	2.1-2	10.7	22.2	+5.5
Pt/time	6.3	7.7	+1.4	12.0	8.2	-3.8	4.2	3.6	-0.6	5.6	0.0	-5,6
After Child												
F1/+ime	0.0	0.0	0,0	2.0	2.0	0.0	12.5	3.6	-8.9	0.0	3.7	+3.7
Total	100.0	100.0	•	100.0	99.9	1-	100.0	6.66		100.0	100.0	1
N=	63	39	1	50	49	13-48	24	28	•	8	27	

White

0038

0039

0040

	1968-1972	772 772	1968-1972	101 111		To Work of Kural Louislana Females by Race and Residence,	Foul.	siana Fe	amales by	Race a	ind Resto	dence,
Expecta-		Farm		ā O	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	fry )	Tows	Town or VIII age	906		15	
Mork After Marriage	1968 %	1972	Change	1968	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change
Not Work At All	13.3	0.0	-13.3	6.7	5.1	-1.6	17.4	8.3	-9.1	10.0	0.0	-10.0
Till Child Pt/time	13.3	33.3	+20.0	22.2	12.8	-9.4	13.0	33.3	+20.3	20.0	16.7	7 2-
Till Child Fi/time	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	17.9	- d +	26.1	8.3	-17.8	20.0	23.3	+13.3
Nfter Child Pt/time	30.0	16.7	-13.3 24.4	24.4	25.6	+1.2	13.0	33.3	+20.3	C	16.7	+16.7
After Child FI/time	26.7	33.3	+6.6	+6.6 28.9	38.5	+9.6	30.4	16.7	-13.7	50.0	33.3	7 9
Total	100.0	100.0		100.0	99.9	i	99.9	99.9		100.0	100.0	
<b>"</b>	30	9	-	45	39	1	23	12		<u>o</u>	9	

At All         23.1         21.7         -1.4         13.7         13.8         +0.1         6.9         5.1         -1.8         10.0         14.7           Till Child         15.4         4.3         -11.1         23.5         13.8         -9.7         27.6         15.4         -12.2         35.0         17.6           Till Child         36.5         47.8         +11.3         37.3         50.0         +12.7         48.3         53.8         +5.5         25.0         41.2           Till Child         36.5         47.8         +11.3         37.3         50.0         +12.7         48.3         53.8         +5.5         25.0         41.2           After Child         19.2         13.0         -6.2         17.6         10.3         -7.3         6.9         15.4         +8.5         15.0         14.7           After Child         5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         0.0         15.0         11.8           Iotal         100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          100.0         100.0          100.0         39          20         39	Not Nork												
15.4         4.3         -11.1         23.5         13.8         -9.7         27.6         15.4         -12.2         35.0         17.6           36.5         47.8         +11.3         37.3         50.0         +12.7         48.3         53.8         +5.5         25.0         41.2           19.2         13.0         -6.2         17.6         10.3         -7.3         6.9         15.4         +8.5         15.0         14.7           5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         0.0         15.0         11.8           100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          20         100.0         100.0          100.0         100.0         34           52         23          51         58          29         39          20         34	A+ A11	23.1	21.7		13.7	13.8	+0.1	6.9		-1.8	10.0	14.7	+4.7
15.4         4.3         -11.1         23.5         13.8         -9.7         27.6         15.4         -12.2         35.0         17.6           36.5         47.8         +11.3         37.3         50.0         +12.7         48.3         53.8         +5.5         25.0         41.2           19.2         13.0         -6.2         17.6         10.3         -7.3         6.9         15.4         +8.5         15.0         41.2           5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         10.3         0.0         15.0         11.8           100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          20         100.0         100.0         100.0         100.0         100.0         34           52         23          51         58          29         39          20         34         9													
36.5         47.8         +11.3         37.3         50.0         +12.7         48.3         53.8         +5.5         25.0         41.2           19.2         13.0         -6.2         17.6         10.3         -7.3         6.9         15.4         +8.5         15.0         14.7           5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         0.0         15.0         11.8           100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          100.0         100.0          100.0         100.0         100.0         100.0         100.0         34           52         23          51         58          29         39          20         34          20         34	Pt/time	15.4	4.3		23.5	13.8		27.6	15.4	-12.2	35.0		-17.4
36.5       47.8       +11.3       37.3       50.0       +12.7       48.3       53.8       +5.5       25.0       41.2         19.2       13.0       -6.2       17.6       10.3       -7.3       6.9       15.4       +8.5       15.0       14.7         5.8       13.0       +7.2       7.8       12.1       +4.3       10.3       0.0       15.0       11.8         100.0       99.8        99.9       100.0        00.0       100.0        100.0       100.0         52       23        51       58        29       39        20       34	Till Child			f									
19.2         13.0         -6.2         17.6         10.3         -7.3         6.9         15.4         +8.5         15.0           5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         10.3         0.0         15.0           100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          100.0         100.0          100.0           52         23          51         58          29         39          20         20	- 1/ Time	56.5	47.8		37.3	50.0		48.3	53.8	+5.5	25.0		+16.2
19.2         13.0         -6.2         17.6         10.3         -7.3         6.9         15.4         +8.5         15.0           5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         0.0         15.0           100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          00.0         100.0          100.0         15.0	After Child	(											
5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         10.3         0.0         15.0           100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          00.0         100.0          100.0         100.0          100.0         100.0 <t< td=""><td>Pt/time</td><td>19.2</td><td>13.0</td><td></td><td>17.6</td><td>10.3</td><td>-7.3</td><td>6.9</td><td>15.4</td><td>+8.5</td><td>15.0</td><td>14.7</td><td>-0.8</td></t<>	Pt/time	19.2	13.0		17.6	10.3	-7.3	6.9	15.4	+8.5	15.0	14.7	-0.8
5.8         13.0         +7.2         7.8         12.1         +4.3         10.3         10.3         0.0         15.0           100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          00.0         100.0          100.0         15.0         1           52         23          51         58          29         39          20          20	After Child												
100.0         99.8          99.9         100.0          00.0         100.0          100.0           52         23          51         58          29         39          20	F1/time	5.8	13.0		7.8	12.1			10.3	0.0	15.0	8.11	-3.2
52     23      51     58      29     39		0 00 .	9					1					
52 23 51 58 29 39 20	[otal	0.001	8.66	1	99.9	0.00			0.00	*		100.0	1
	N=	52	23	1	51	58	!	29	39	•	20	34	:

White

Black

0042

Table 20.	Change and Res	In Leve Idence,	Change in Leveis of Importance and Residence, 1968-1972	ortance 2	_	of Marriage and Family of Rural Louislana Males by Race	Family	of Ruri	al Louisi	ana Ma	les by R	926
		Farm		<b>d</b> 0	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	try )	TOWN	Town or Village	906		\$10	
Levels of Importance	1968	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change	1968	1972	Change
High (1,2)	6.9	12.5	+5.6	6.7	5.6	-1-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	C	0
Medium (3,4,5)	20.7	25.0	+4.3	26.7	22.2	-4.5	20.0	32.0	+12.0	27.3	33.3	+6.0
Low (6,7)	72.4	62.5	6.6-	66.7	72.2	+5.5	80.0	68.0	-12.0	63.6	66.7	+3.
Total	100.0	100.0		1.001	100.0	•	100.0	100.0	. 8	0.00	100.0	
<b>~</b>	29	8		30	81		01	25			~	

**Black** 

HIgh(1,2)	18.8	18.8 20.5	+1.7	3.6	10.0	+6.4	16.7	20.0	+3,3 15,8	5.8	-	7.4-
Medium (3,4,5)	50.7	50.7 43.6	-7.1		34.0	-21.4	45.8	36.7	-9.1	57.9	40.7	<u>.</u>
Low (6,7)	30.4	30.4 35.9	+5.5	41.1	56.0	56.0 +14.9	37.5	I .	+5. A	2 %	48	
Total	99.9	9.99		100.0	100.0	•	100.0	0.00		0 001	000	0
· =\	69	39		56	S.		24	30		61	27	

\*\*\*\*

:

	Table 21.	Change and Res	In Leve	Change in Level of Importance of Marriage and Family of Rural Louislana Females by Race and Residence, 1968-1972	rtance 2	of Marr	lage and	Family	of Rura	Louisia	ina Felix	sles by	Race
			Farm		d O	Open-Country (Nonfarm)	try )	To	Town or VIIIage	l age		C14	
	Levels of Importance	1968	1972	Change \$	1968 <b>%</b>	1972	Change \$	1968	1972	Change	1968	1972	Change
Black	High (1,2)		12.5 11.1	-1.4	4.0	. 1.6	+5.1	8.7	30.0	+21.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Medium (3,4,5)	21.9	22.2	+0.3	32.0	20.5	-11.5	43.5	10.0	-33.5	50.0		-21.4
	Low (6,7)	65.6	66.7		64.0	70.5	+6.5	47.8	60.0	+12.2	50.0		+21.4
	Total	100.0	100.0 100.0		0.001	1001		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	
	<b>N</b>	32	6		50	44		23	0		12	7	; ; ; ;

ERIC

Full East Provided by ERIC

												-
High (1,2)	39.6	39.6 50.0		+10.4 38.5	28.3		-10.2 33.3	47.6	+14.3	+14.3 33.3	31.4	0
Medium (3,4,5)	34.0	34.0 29.2	-4.8	44.2	45.0	+0.8	40.0	i	-9.0	-9.0 47.6	•	0
							1		-		1	
Low (6,7)	26.4	26.4 20.8	-5.6	17.3	26.7	+9.4	+9.4 26.7 21.4	21.4	-5.3	-5.3 19.0 22.9	22.9	+3.9
Total	100.0	100.0 100.0	:	100.0	100.0		0.001	100.0	•	0 00	0 00	
					1						2	
Na	53	24	1	52	9	•	8	42	•	21	33	}
					1					<b>*</b>		

White

0044

Working Table A. Frequency Distributions of Marital and Procreative Projections for Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and Sex, 1968-1972

		Males		Males	Black I			reales
	1968	1972	1968	1972	1968	1972	1968	1972
	91	64	168	150	126	77	157	162
			Des	ired Age	at Marrie	age		
or Less	8	13	21	21	15	19	37	55
0-22	19	7	55	53	3 <del>9</del>	30	94	83
3-24	22	12	38	20	37	16	17	12
or More	42	32	54	56	35	12	9	12
			Numbe	r of Chi	ldren Des	<u>lred</u>		
one	0	0	3	5	3	2	3	5
	2	1	4	5	4	5	1	7
	29	22	41	63	48	33	22	50
	8	15	43	28	22	9	33	32
	30	11	42	24	33	16	57	42
or More	17	5	23	12	11	7	34	20
Information	5	10	12	13	5	5	7	6
	-	•			ldren Expe	ected		
one	1	0	4	6	4	5	2	9
	4	ŏ	4	4	Š	7	ī	2
	21	17	43	51	34	26	23	61
	8	15	39	24	22	10	36	32
	28	9	40	29	38	15	57	35
or More	22	10	21	12	16	- <del>-</del> - 9	26	15
Information	7	13	17	24	7	5	12	8
. Illiormetron	•				rking Aft			•
A 19	26	<del></del>				3	22	11
ot Work at All	36	28	97	71	10			
111 Child, pt/tim		7	26	31	21	14	32	27
11 Child, fl/tim		14	24	35	22	14	62	84
t. Child, pt/tim		3	4	6	23	7	30	14
it. Child, fl/tim		7	3	3	41	30	10	16
Information	14	5	14	4	9	9	1	10
	•				Working		<del>-</del>	4.4
t Work at All	24	29	76	62	12	3	23	19
11 Child, pt/tim		10	44	34	19	13	35	21
11 Child, fl/tim		8	19	34	21	12	57	75
t. Child, pt/tim		3	12	9	23	16	24	20
t. Child, fl/tin		4	4	4	33	21	13	18
Information	24	10	13	7	18	12	5	9
		•	Importa	nce of M	larriage a	nd Famil	<u>Y</u>	
(High)	3	0	5	10	2	5	33	40
	2	2	17	12	6	3	25	20
	5	4	22	25	9	3	30	18
	8	6	33	14	14	4	18	25
	6	5	33	17	16	7	15	20
	32	23	24	19	31	18	18	16
(Low)	24	15	34	49	39	32	17	22
n Information	11	9	0	4	9	5	11	1_